



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

<del></del>	,			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,209	02/13/2002	Richard Nils Dawson	839-1170	6251
7:	590 06/05/2003			
	ANDERHYE P.C.	EXAMINER		
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			PHAN, THIEM D	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, <u> </u>				<del></del>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	6			
Office Action Summary		10/073,209	DAWSON ET A	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tim Phan	3729				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the second section approximation approxim	pears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howev ply within the statutory minin d will apply and will expire S tte, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered t X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	February 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
· ·	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.					
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		tion.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requiren	nent.				
•	on Papers	•					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office act	on.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	nal Stage			
				onal application).			
•	<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachmen							
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re	rademark Office ev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper N	lo. 5			

.

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Title

1. The following title is suggested: Method of Forming a Stator.

# Specification

2. Insert, as suggested, the first full paragraph of the application following the title of the invention: This application is a division of application no. 09/733,556 filed on 12/08/2000.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4. Claims 1-8, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because under 35U.S.C. 101
- "... may obtain a patent therefor ...", this has historically been interpreted to mean one (1) patent

Art Unit: 3729

for one invention. These claims are recited in Application Number: 10/046761 or attorney

Docket Number: 839-1164.

### **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-7 are further rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-16 of Application Number: 10/046761.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in the claims 1-7, for example, there is merely obvious variation of an invention claimed in claims 14-16 of Application Number: 10/046761.

Art Unit: 3729

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention such as:
  - "controlling respective locations ... force load ... contact points" (Claim 1, lines 10-12),
  - "contact points are controlled ... stress ... minimized" (Claim 2, lines 1 & 2),
  - "controlled ... equal" (Claim 3, line 2),
  - "stiffness is controlled ... frame plate" (Claim 4, lines 1 & 2),
- "further away" (Claim 5, line 2), it is unclear how far away is necessary,
   these phrases merely recite a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this
   use is actually practiced. Ex parte Erlich, 3 USPQ2d 1011 (Bd. PA&1. 1986).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3729

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by C. T. Hibbard (US 1,685,054) hereinafter '054.

The '054 teaches the core construction of a dynamo-electric machine (Cf. page 1, lines 2 and 3) comprising:

- providing a stator frame with a frame plate (Cf. Fig. 1, element 1),
- connecting many key bars (Cf. Fig. 2, element 5) with dovetail to stator frame,
- providing a stator core (Cf. page 2, lines 34 & 35) with a package of stator section
   laminations (Cf. Fig. 1, element 2; page 1, lines 25 & 26) to be coupled to stator frame
   through a dovetail slot (Cf. Fig. 2, element 5),
- engaging the dovetails into dovetail slots (Cf. Fig. 2, element 5) such that the keybardovetails contact respective laminations,
- controlling locations of contact points (Cf. Fig. 2, elements 5 & 6) such that the force load is evenly distributed among the contact points.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3729

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '054.

As applied to claims 2-5, the '054 teaches the claimed invention except for the minimizing of key-bar stress and controlling the stiffness of key-bar contact points. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to minimize the key-bar stress and control the stiffness of key-bar contact points since it is known in the art that core laminations secured with dovetail keys at various thickness (Cf. Fig. 1, element 2) are also clamped together (Cf. Fig. 1, element 7), minimizing key-bar contact points' stress.

13. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '054 in view of Forbes et al (US 4,712,035) hereinafter '035.

The '054 teaches the core construction of a dynamo-electric machine which reads on all of applicants' claimed limitations.

The '035 teaches the wedging means or wedge (Cf. Fig. 8, element 81; column 8, lines 23-29) to be inserted into dovetail slot to prevent displacement between engaging elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the '054 with the '035 and to modify the method of '054 by applying the wedge as taught by '035 in order to prevent displacement between engaging elements.

Art Unit: 3729

Page 7

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 703-605-0707. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7307 for regular

communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

CARL J. ARBES

**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

1

Tim Phan

Examiner

Art Unit 3729

tp

May 30, 2003